UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JESSE NORMAN WHITE BULL,

Defendant.

1:24-CR-10036-CBK

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS

The Court held a hearing on Jesse White Bull's motion to suppress¹ on June 17, 2025. Four working days later, the Court announced its recommendation that his motion be denied.² This report memorializes that recommendation as stated in the Court's bench decision.³

NOTICE

The parties consented to a seven-calendar day objection period⁴ (agreeing to cut the prescribed two-week time⁵) to keep the upcoming trial on track. They thus have seven days after service of this report and recommendation to object to the same. Unless an

¹ Docket No. 36.

² Docket No. 60.

³ Docket No. 62.

⁴ Docket No. 56.

⁵ See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b).

extension of time for cause is later obtained, 6 failure to file timely objections will result in the waiver of the right to appeal questions of fact.7 Objections must "identify[] those issues on which further review is desired."8

DATED this 23rd day of June, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

MARK A. MORENO

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

⁶ See Thompson v. Nix, 897 F.2d 356, 357 (8th Cir. 1990); Nash v. Black, 781 F.2d 665, 667 & n.3 (8th Cir. 1986) (citing Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985)).

⁷See Thompson, 897 F.2d at 357; Nash, 781 F.2d at 667.

⁸Arn, 474 U.S. at 155.